

Appendix 7. Western intellectuals' views on African regimes.

How some Western intellectuals see Africa is given in parts.

1) How do some learned Europeans see African states? One of the learned European political scientists observed that there are 5 kinds of African states (Appendix 8). All 5 types are dysfunctional or failed states. One of the five dysfunctional states is identified as the "captured" variety. According to the political scientist who gave the dysfunction typology of Africa about nine years ago, in the "captured" state variety an elite takes over a state, provides no justice to the people but fulfils international obligations. Such a "captured" state is exemplified by Rwanda. Other dysfunctional or failed states include Somalia where there is no government, and Zaire where the soldiers work to safeguard the interest only of the leader. As known from written documents, in one of his visits to the United States Zenawi had agreed that Ethiopia is a failed state. Perhaps, Zenawi was told that the case of Ethiopia is similar to the "captured" variety. This might explain why Zenawi was preaching his fear of a Rwandan-type massacre happening in the period of the May 2005 elections.

As long as they fulfill international obligations, even though they do not provide justice to the inhabitants, there is reason to believe that the "captured" state governance would not alarm the governments of America and Europe. This is why, from the funds given to Ethiopia Zenawi and his elitist friends share among themselves millions of dollars and hire guards that protect them and spies on the

national soldiers, while Ethiopia grows poorer year by year until it became the poorest nation in the world. If an opposition that fulfills international obligations and that brings justice and progress to the inhabitants comes to power both the American and European governments will continue to provide their aid with delight. The latter can be gleaned from Congressional hearings in which representatives of the state department, other agencies, and experts are summoned to testify. As though the destruction it brought to Ethiopia over the last 14 years is not enough, the tyrannical governance that received only about 85 undisputed seats out of possible 547 MPs is attempting to completely destroy the country in the coming 5 more years. Aware of this dangerous conditions, Ethiopians should pursue the nonviolent "Respect the Vote" movement and replace the tyrannical governance by the one they have elected by their votes.

Either the people will unite and remove the problem imposed on them or they will be individually squashed to their end. The choice belongs to the people.

Ellis' (2005) theory of failed or dysfunctional African states.

Examine the role of the West as it had succeeded in placing two tyrants, Afeworki and Zenawi, over Ethiopia.

a) The 1991 London conference chaired by the US government overthrew the tyrannical Dreg and led to the enthronement of two tyrants, Afeworki and Zenawi, over Ethiopia. The West then imposed "its two state solution to its idea of the Ethiopian Problem".

b) How the West views Ethiopia. It views the two Ethiopian states (Eritrea and FDRE) as dysfunctional states of the "captured" variety exemplified by Rwanda as may be gleaned from work by S. Ellis, (2005). Here is how he puts it.

"Nine years ago, the political scientist Jean-Germain Gros proposed a useful typology that the international community should adopt. He identified five types of dysfunctional states: "anarchic" states, such as Somalia, which lack a central government;" phantom," or "mirage," states, such as Congo (formerly Zaire), which exercise only a semblance of central authority and can manage just a few core tasks (such as protecting the president and his circle); "anemic" states, which are enervated by an insurgency or where, as in Haiti, "the engines of modernity were never put in place"; "captured" states, such as Rwanda, where a strong centralized authority has been taken over by an insecure elite that is primarily concerned with defending itself against rival elites; and "aborted" states, such as Angola, which failed before they were ever consolidated. "

p.139

Professor Mesfin Wolde Mariam in his book entitled *Yeqlulet Kihedet* informs us that Zenawi had attended a conference in the USA at which he agreed that Ethiopia is a failed state. One assumes that Zenawi was also told that it is the Rwanda model that works for his case. That might explain why Zenawi called on the Rwandan massacre in the May 2005 elections. Mr. Zenawi called on a fear that the Rwanda-type massacre might happen in Ethiopia. In short

order, Mr. Carter supported the banning of demonstrations in Addis Ababa that Zenawi imposed on May 16. Subsequently, after pointing out all the ills of the vote-counting structure, process, and implementation of process associated with post-election conducted by the National Election Board (NEB) of Zenawi's regime, the Carter Center agreed with the observations made by the European Union Election Observation members (EUEOM). However, the Carter Center made the recommendation that the opposition must enter parliament or if they have objections they should immediately go to court, with the added provision stating that if the opposition failed to do that, the case is tantamount to a condition that the opposition have forfeited their objections. By making those recommendations, the Carter Center created laws that were not proposed or agreed to by the parties that engaged in the dispute over the election process. One is amazed at the disconnection between the observation made by the Carter Center and its recommendations. Perhaps the foreign department reports on "How to Rebuild Africa" in an article by S. Ellis (2005) might shed light on this issue.

According to S. Ellis (2005) it is to be noted that most African states are identified as failed or dysfunctional states. Such "dysfunctional states share two key characteristics: they cannot guarantee law and order throughout their territory, and they cannot fulfill certain critical international obligations...But the latter creates the most widespread concern." (P.138) He continued: " The West should adopt a new, enlightened form of self-interest and be open to engaging in new sorts of involvement in Africa. .. What is required ... are international joint ventures ..[that]..would avoid the evils of colonialism...and the errors

of more recent peacekeeping and state building.. The outcome "a healthier, more stable, and more secure Africa" would benefit everyone, on the continent and around the world..". (p.148)

It appears that no matter how tyrannical the governance, so long as a dysfunctional African state can produce a semblance of a more stable and more secure entity, an African country had performed a beneficial role to the enlightened self-interest view point of the foreign department.

An apparent problem to the proposed "enlightened self-interest" of the foreign department is the unanticipated nonviolent movement undertaken by Ethiopians. If the Ethiopians can stand their ground on the issue of "Respect the Vote", one sees no problem that should bring an opposition from the foreign department. In so far as the European countries are concerned, the EU parliament had passed its support to the rights and demands of the elected opposition parliamentarians (the Kinijit Party members), who were denied their rights to represent the people who elected them by Zenawi. The principled stand undertaken by Kinijit has already scored positive points to the "Respect the Vote" nonviolent movement, in that it has caused the European parliament to make at least some noise.

Throughout history Ethiopia had no one to rely on except on its citizens. The conditions have not changed. The Ethiopian opposition parties must not allow western or other representatives, agencies, et cetera, from placing a wedge between the citizens and their preferred government. The opposition parties must engage the people. Kinijit has

delivered an eight-point demand on October 10 with the provision that they should get a response by October 22. All opposition entities and individual ought to rally the Ethiopians to get ready to engage in activities that would ensure their rights to peaceful discourse over a free the media, their right to have their elected officials to manage the business of the country, cities, et cetera.

Reference

URL1

<http://www.foreignaffairs.org/20050901faessay84511/stephen-ellis/how-to-rebuild-africa.html>

Mesfin Wolde Mariam (1996 ethi) *Yekulkulet Kehedet*,
Addis Ababa

HG. 10/16/2005